



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 23, 2024 – 10:15 AM EDT

PDB ID : 5KK9  
BMRB ID : 30123  
Title : Connexin 32 G12R N-Terminal Mutant,  
Authors : Dowd, T.L.; Barigello, T.A.  
Deposited on : 2016-06-21

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.37.1


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 55%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	23	<div> <div></div> <div>13%</div> <div>17%</div> <div>13%</div> <div>57%</div> </div>

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 18 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:1-A:10 (10)	0.44	18

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 7 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	5, 14, 16, 18
2	4, 6, 12, 15
3	13, 17, 19
4	3, 9, 10
5	7, 11
6	1, 20
7	2, 8

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 367 atoms, of which 185 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Gap junction beta-1 protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms							Trace
1	A	23	Total	C	H	N	O	S		0
			367	113	185	36	32	1		


There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	0	ACE	-	expression tag	UNP P08034
A	12	ARG	GLY	engineered mutation	UNP P08034




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein



### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein






#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Gap junction beta-1 protein



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the ? calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: ?.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	structure calculation	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	177
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	176
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	1
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	55%

## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ACE

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	84	84	84	8±3
All	All	1680	1680	1680	158

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 47.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:10:LEU:H	1:A:10:LEU:HD23	0.78	1.38	15	2
1:A:10:LEU:HD12	1:A:10:LEU:H	0.69	1.47	12	6
1:A:7:TYR:CD1	1:A:7:TYR:N	0.64	2.66	4	20
1:A:3:TRP:O	1:A:5:GLY:N	0.61	2.34	3	20
1:A:3:TRP:CE3	1:A:6:LEU:HD22	0.60	2.31	9	3
1:A:6:LEU:C	1:A:6:LEU:HD23	0.58	2.19	9	3
1:A:10:LEU:N	1:A:10:LEU:HD12	0.58	2.13	9	5
1:A:2:ASN:HD22	1:A:3:TRP:N	0.55	1.99	15	4
1:A:3:TRP:O	1:A:4:THR:C	0.54	2.46	15	17
1:A:10:LEU:H	1:A:10:LEU:CD2	0.53	2.15	15	1
1:A:6:LEU:C	1:A:10:LEU:HD22	0.51	2.26	15	2
1:A:10:LEU:H	1:A:10:LEU:CD1	0.51	2.17	8	6

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:3:TRP:HE3	1:A:6:LEU:HD22	0.49	1.67	8	3
1:A:2:ASN:ND2	1:A:2:ASN:O	0.49	2.45	14	8
1:A:2:ASN:HD22	1:A:2:ASN:C	0.48	2.11	1	2
1:A:5:GLY:O	1:A:10:LEU:N	0.48	2.46	15	6
1:A:2:ASN:ND2	1:A:3:TRP:N	0.48	2.62	12	3
1:A:10:LEU:HD12	1:A:10:LEU:N	0.47	2.22	8	5
1:A:3:TRP:C	1:A:5:GLY:N	0.47	2.67	6	6
1:A:2:ASN:O	1:A:3:TRP:C	0.47	2.53	17	7
1:A:3:TRP:HB2	1:A:6:LEU:HD13	0.46	1.87	8	3
1:A:7:TYR:N	1:A:7:TYR:HD1	0.45	2.08	20	2
1:A:10:LEU:N	1:A:10:LEU:HD23	0.45	2.26	20	5
1:A:1:MET:SD	1:A:1:MET:N	0.44	2.91	10	3
1:A:5:GLY:HA2	1:A:9:LEU:HB2	0.44	1.89	14	1
1:A:10:LEU:N	1:A:10:LEU:CD1	0.44	2.80	9	3
1:A:2:ASN:O	1:A:2:ASN:ND2	0.43	2.50	16	1
1:A:2:ASN:C	1:A:2:ASN:ND2	0.43	2.68	6	1
1:A:5:GLY:C	1:A:7:TYR:H	0.43	2.17	3	1
1:A:2:ASN:ND2	1:A:2:ASN:C	0.41	2.72	4	1
1:A:10:LEU:HD23	1:A:10:LEU:H	0.41	1.75	4	3
1:A:10:LEU:HD23	1:A:10:LEU:N	0.41	2.31	5	1
1:A:6:LEU:HA	1:A:10:LEU:HG	0.41	1.92	18	1
1:A:3:TRP:CG	1:A:4:THR:N	0.40	2.89	18	2
1:A:7:TYR:N	1:A:10:LEU:HD22	0.40	2.32	15	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	10/23 (43%)	4±1 (40±9%)	4±1 (38±11%)	2±1 (22±9%)	0	1
All	All	200/460 (43%)	80 (40%)	75 (38%)	45 (22%)	0	1

All 6 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	4	THR	20
1	A	10	LEU	8
1	A	5	GLY	7
1	A	3	TRP	6
1	A	6	LEU	2
1	A	1	MET	2

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	9/19 (47%)	7±1 (77±9%)	2±1 (23±9%)	3	29
All	All	180/380 (47%)	139 (77%)	41 (23%)	3	29

All 6 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	7	TYR	20
1	A	2	ASN	9
1	A	10	LEU	5
1	A	1	MET	3
1	A	6	LEU	3
1	A	9	LEU	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 55% for the well-defined parts and 54% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_0*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	177
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	176
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	1
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- No matching atom found in the structure. All 1 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
					Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	1	MET	H1	8.35	0.02	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 55%, i.e. 80 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 146. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	28/51 (55%)	18/21 (86%)	10/20 (50%)	0/10 (0%)
Sidechain	44/74 (59%)	35/50 (70%)	9/23 (39%)	0/1 (0%)
Aromatic	8/21 (38%)	8/10 (80%)	0/10 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	80/146 (55%)	61/81 (75%)	19/53 (36%)	0/12 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 54%, i.e. 173 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 318. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	64/112 (57%)	42/46 (91%)	22/44 (50%)	0/22 (0%)
Sidechain	99/177 (56%)	79/116 (68%)	20/50 (40%)	0/11 (0%)
Aromatic	10/29 (34%)	10/14 (71%)	0/12 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	173/318 (54%)	131/176 (74%)	42/106 (40%)	0/36 (0%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

